

Make that Last Check Bounce
By Charles Fulmer and Craig Pedersen
Copyright © 2024

Billionaire philanthropist Chuck Feeney is credited with saying, “I want the last check I write to bounce.” While that is ideal, it’s unrealistic.

Or is it?

No one can predict exactly how long they will live, but they can estimate their maximum life span. Age 95 is a common benchmark, but for a cushion you could use 100 or 105. Regardless of your estimation, it’s possible to plan for your principal to approach zero at that age by using the DoubleBucket method (see www.thedoublebucket.com). The DoubleBucket method uses a variable withdrawal rate that adjusts to market conditions. Even with that adjustment, the average withdrawal rate for the DoubleBucket method has always been higher than the 4% rule in recent history (going back to 1972).

The 4% rule, as well as the Monte Carlo method, do provide a high level of confidence that a specified income stream will be met. However, to provide this safety net, the withdrawal rate needs to be conservative. In addition, there is no guarantee how much money will be left at the end. History has shown that some spans using the 4% rule barely made it, while other spans left several multiples of the original principal. Many clients are willing to sacrifice lower cash flow for this confidence, but other clients may have a different viewpoint. They may feel like money is being left on the table and are willing to take some risk in optimizing their income stream. Other clients, however, may have under-saved and have no legacy wishes at all. This is where the DoubleBucket methodology offers a better approach.

How does the DoubleBucket manage to wind down exactly to zero? To answer that, let’s consider the inverse situation. If you are 30 years old and want to save a million dollars for retirement in 30 years, how much would you need to save each year? Using your financial calculator with a 6% return estimate (9% for the stock market minus 3% for inflation), a monthly savings rate of about \$1,000 should suffice. However, due to sequence of returns, inflation and market unpredictability, the deposit amount and investment diversification would need to adjust along the way. With those adjustments, the end goal could be met. The DoubleBucket methodology does the same, but in reverse.

The advantage of the 4% rule and Monte Carlo method is that they give a high-level of confidence of a consistent inflation-adjusted income stream, whereas the DoubleBucket prescribes an income stream that varies. The advantage of the DoubleBucket is that the average income stream will be higher in almost all scenarios because it will exhaust the principal in its entirety. With respect to the ending principal, the 4% rule and Monte Carlo method may leave a considerable sum at the end. On the other hand, the DoubleBucket method will always run down to zero.

To analyze how the DoubleBucket has performed in recent history, we will consider the past twenty-one 30-year spans (1972-2001 up to 1992-2021). For any 30-year span, the DoubleBucket suggests an initial ~6% withdrawal rate, a full 50% higher than the 4% rule. With an aggressive withdrawal rate like that, some spans suffer. Specifically, if we look at the span from 1973 to 2002, an initial withdrawal rate of \$61k (6.1% of \$1m), dropped all the way to \$47k just two years later (in real dollars). Real withdrawal

rates continued to tumble all the way down to \$44k after 10 years. If the client had budgeted \$61k to pay non-discretionary expenses, that is a problem.

However, it still beat the 4% rule. In addition, the incomplete spans starting in 2000 and 2007 were problematic, but both seemed to have recovered nicely by 2021. In summary, out of the twenty-one 30-year spans, a few spans performed poorly, while the other spans were much better. Even in the worst spans, the performance was better than the 4% rule.

A 6% withdrawal rate should be considered a limit. The client can certainly withdraw less to preserve capital for later.

What I've talked about so far is a monolithic retirement plan where the same withdrawal amount is required each year. However, a client's income requirements typically vary from year to year due to a variety of factors. Delaying Social Security, part-time income, and discretionary spending can all affect yearly withdrawals. The DoubleBucket can handle this by shifting money from one phase of retirement to another so that withdrawal amounts are optimized across the entire span.

Let's take an example of a single client with the following scenario:

- Pre-retirement at age 60 while earning \$50k/year in part-time work
- Full retirement at age 65 (no part-time income)
- Delay SS to age 70 at \$30k/year
- Desired income of \$100k/year from age 60 to 85
- Desired income of \$70k/year from age 85 to 105

In tabular form (all amounts are inflation adjusted):

Table 1

Retirement Phase	Income Requirement	Part Time Income	Social Security	Principal Withdrawal
60-64	\$100,000	\$50,000	0	\$50,000
65-70	\$100,000	0	0	\$100,000
71-85	\$100,000	0	\$30,000	\$70,000
86-105	\$70,000	0	\$30,000	\$40,000

The DoubleBucket will take this data and estimate the required savings to meet these goals. In this case, that amount is a little less than \$1.2m. Then, an annuity table (see figure 1 below) will be generated that specifies where assets should be invested and the withdrawal percentage for each year. Asset diversification becomes more conservative in each year of retirement, saving cash for the end. This is how the DoubleBucket guarantees the principal will last. Notice that the withdrawal percentage changes at the inflection points of each phase (these phases are shaded in the figure below).

Figure 1

Age			US 10y	Corp			Intl	Withdrawal	
	Cash	Gold	Bonds	Bonds	S&P 500	Nasdaq	Stocks	REITS	%
60	0.062	0.148	0.121	0.121	0.235	0.07	0.043	0.198	4.22%
61	0.066	0.15	0.124	0.124	0.234	0.068	0.042	0.193	4.16%
62	0.069	0.151	0.127	0.127	0.232	0.065	0.041	0.189	4.11%
63	0.072	0.153	0.129	0.129	0.231	0.063	0.039	0.184	4.07%
64	0.075	0.154	0.132	0.132	0.23	0.06	0.038	0.179	4.02%
65	0.078	0.156	0.135	0.135	0.229	0.057	0.036	0.175	3.98%
66	0.115	0.149	0.129	0.129	0.219	0.055	0.035	0.168	7.92%
67	0.117	0.148	0.129	0.129	0.219	0.054	0.035	0.168	8.19%
68	0.119	0.148	0.129	0.129	0.218	0.054	0.035	0.168	8.50%
69	0.121	0.147	0.129	0.129	0.218	0.053	0.035	0.168	8.84%
70	0.123	0.146	0.129	0.129	0.217	0.052	0.035	0.168	9.22%
71	0.1	0.152	0.134	0.134	0.221	0.052	0.035	0.171	6.77%
72	0.102	0.153	0.135	0.135	0.22	0.051	0.034	0.17	6.92%
73	0.105	0.154	0.137	0.137	0.218	0.049	0.032	0.169	7.08%
74	0.107	0.155	0.138	0.138	0.216	0.047	0.03	0.167	7.25%
75	0.11	0.157	0.14	0.14	0.213	0.045	0.028	0.166	7.45%
76	0.114	0.156	0.14	0.14	0.213	0.045	0.028	0.164	7.68%
77	0.118	0.156	0.14	0.14	0.213	0.044	0.029	0.162	7.94%
78	0.122	0.155	0.139	0.139	0.212	0.044	0.029	0.159	8.23%
79	0.127	0.154	0.139	0.139	0.212	0.044	0.029	0.157	8.56%
80	0.132	0.153	0.139	0.139	0.211	0.043	0.029	0.154	8.93%
81	0.135	0.152	0.138	0.138	0.21	0.043	0.029	0.154	9.38%
82	0.139	0.151	0.138	0.138	0.209	0.042	0.029	0.154	9.90%
83	0.143	0.15	0.138	0.138	0.208	0.041	0.029	0.154	10.49%
84	0.147	0.149	0.137	0.137	0.207	0.04	0.029	0.153	11.18%
85	0.153	0.147	0.137	0.137	0.205	0.039	0.029	0.153	11.99%
86	0.11	0.156	0.148	0.148	0.214	0.037	0.029	0.158	7.40%
87	0.112	0.158	0.152	0.152	0.212	0.033	0.027	0.154	7.59%
88	0.116	0.159	0.156	0.156	0.209	0.028	0.025	0.15	7.81%
89	0.119	0.161	0.161	0.161	0.206	0.023	0.023	0.145	8.06%
90	0.125	0.164	0.164	0.164	0.202	0.019	0.019	0.143	8.41%
91	0.13	0.167	0.167	0.167	0.198	0.015	0.015	0.14	8.80%
92	0.137	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.193	0.011	0.011	0.137	9.25%
93	0.145	0.174	0.174	0.174	0.186	0.006	0.006	0.133	9.78%
94	0.154	0.179	0.179	0.179	0.179	0	0	0.129	10.40%
95	0.166	0.178	0.178	0.178	0.178	0	0	0.124	11.23%
96	0.181	0.176	0.176	0.176	0.176	0	0	0.117	12.24%
97	0.2	0.173	0.173	0.173	0.173	0	0	0.108	13.49%
98	0.224	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0	0	0.097	15.10%
99	0.255	0.166	0.166	0.166	0.166	0	0	0.083	17.21%
100	0.285	0.159	0.159	0.159	0.159	0	0	0.079	20.52%
101	0.329	0.149	0.149	0.149	0.149	0	0	0.075	25.49%
102	0.404	0.132	0.132	0.132	0.132	0	0	0.066	33.76%
103	0.553	0.099	0.099	0.099	0.099	0	0	0.05	50.32%
104	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100.00%

To see how this plays out in practice, see figure 2 below. These are the actual DoubleBucket results for the span from 1977 to 2021. The “real withdrawal” column in figure 2 is inflation adjusted and roughly correlates to the “principal withdrawal” column from the client’s scenario in Table 1 above (on previous page). This is the intent of the DoubleBucket Method. It approximates the client’s withdrawal requirements for each period of retirement, adjusting along the way to market conditions.

Note that the “Nominal Withdrawal” column below is calculated by multiplying the current principal by the “Withdrawal Percentage” from Figure 1 above.

Figure 2

Age	Year	Nominal	Real	Gain/Loss	Year-End	CPI
		Withdrawal	Withdrawal		Balance	
60	1977	50000	50000	102080.78	1237194.2	6.5
61	1978	51521.913	48377.383	140859.72	1326532	7.62
62	1979	54569.834	47611.3	414737.36	1686699.5	11.22
63	1980	68595.88	53811.184	275450.93	1893554.6	13.58
64	1981	76193.374	52624.727	-39064.328	1778296.9	10.35
65	1982	70857.644	44349.326	355260.53	2062699.7	6.16
66	1983	163286.77	96269.872	244378.72	2143791.7	3.22
67	1984	175653.94	100330.61	126201.65	2094339.4	4.3
68	1985	178020.25	97490.126	415043.86	2331363	3.55
69	1986	206100.85	108998.6	417958.89	2543221.1	1.91
70	1987	234496.11	121691.42	107558.36	2416283.3	3.66
71	1988	163617.74	81911.241	203510.45	2456176	4.08
72	1989	169907.23	81725.521	331244.68	2617513.5	4.83
73	1990	185263.55	85006.131	-68534.211	2363715.7	5.39
74	1991	171471.31	74653.87	414850.07	2607094.5	4.25
75	1992	194210.12	81106.683	160265.68	2573150	3.03
76	1993	197709.4	80139.829	335024.3	2710464.9	2.96
77	1994	215311.69	84765.702	-12869.042	2482284.2	2.61
78	1995	204393.97	78420.743	435334.2	2713224.4	2.81
79	1996	232253.92	86674.345	299705.24	2780675.8	2.93
80	1997	248266.6	90012.711	285693.35	2818102.5	2.34
81	1998	264425.28	93679.184	230056.51	2783733.7	1.55
82	1999	275593.4	96145.509	189933.41	2698073.8	2.19
83	2000	283113.26	96652.258	84928.444	2499888.9	3.38
84	2001	279495.68	92297.593	10931.456	2231324.7	2.83
85	2002	267447.47	85888.286	37807.358	2001684.6	1.59
86	2003	148055.73	46802.568	370074.91	2223703.8	2.27
87	2004	168853.05	52192.144	221828.62	2276679.4	2.68
88	2005	177892.02	53550.907	154780.08	2253567.4	3.39
89	2006	181674.1	52896.244	303279.92	2375173.2	3.24
90	2007	199676.76	56313.348	153072.16	2328568.6	2.85
91	2008	204938.13	56195.599	-225644.58	1897985.9	3.85
92	2009	175658.09	46381.114	271647.25	1993975.1	-0.34
93	2010	195065.74	51681.266	259440.89	2058350.2	1.64
94	2011	214136.73	55818.563	145838.4	1990051.9	3.16
95	2012	223515.98	56478.705	155182.72	1921718.6	2.07
96	2013	235226.04	58232.233	-14544.24	1671948.4	1.47
97	2014	225626.25	55046.538	127099.48	1573421.6	1.62
98	2015	237523.94	57025.431	-22695.489	1313202.2	0.12
99	2016	225994.72	54192.433	61401.785	1148609.2	1.26
100	2017	235694.11	55815.03	74337.465	987252.58	2
101	2018	251615.37	58417.024	-8331.4174	727305.79	2.4
102	2019	245573.26	55677.969	59796.244	541528.77	1.8
103	2020	272512.99	60693.436	17128.367	286144.15	1.24
104	2021	286144.15	62948.771	0	0	4.7

The DoubleBucket method is a good way to optimize withdrawals from a fixed principal amount over a finite period. This can be ideal for those clients who don't have a legacy requirement and can take a little risk with their discretionary spending. Also, it can benefit those clients who have under-saved and have accepted the possibility of surviving off Social Security and Medicaid during their later years. For more information, please see www.thedoublebucket.com/resources.

Thank you,

-The DoubleBucket® Team

www.thedoublebucket.com/about